



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/497,499	02/04/2000	Kazuhiko Asakawa	OKI.147	7562

7590 04/24/2002

Jones Volentine Steinberg & White LLP
12200 Sunrise Vlley Drive
Suite 150
Reston, VA 20191

EXAMINER

LOUIE, WAI SING

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2814

DATE MAILED: 04/24/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/497,499

Applicant(s)

ASAKAWA ET AL.

Examiner

Wai-Sing Louie

Art Unit

2814

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 February 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 10-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 10-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 9.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe (US 5,808,363) in view of Isobe (US 5,716,872).

With regard to claims 10 and 13, Watanabe discloses a semiconductor device (col. 4, line 22 to col. 6, line 15 and fig. 5 and 6) comprising:

- A semiconductor substrate 1 has first and second regions formed on a major surface;
- A first insulating layer 4, which is formed over the first region;
- A dummy pattern 5X, which has a predetermined shape, and which is formed on the first insulating layer 4;
- A first SOG layer 7, which is formed on the side surface of the dummy pattern and the first insulating layer 4;
- A second insulating layer 10, which is formed on the second insulating layer 8;
- The first and second insulating layer and first and second SOG layer are exposed at the boundary between the first region and second region (fig. 5);
- Watanabe disclose an insulating layer 8 deposited on the SOG film 7, but does not disclose the second layer formed on the top surface of the dummy pattern is SOG.

However, Watanabe teaches the insulating film may be made of any insulative material, but is preferably made of SOG (col. 3, lines 1-2). Therefore, it is obvious the insulating layer 8 could be made of SOG. Watanabe does not disclose the second SOG layer 8 is denser than the first SOG film 7. However, Isobe teaches that there are a large number of uncoupled bonds in the SOG film. The exposed SOG film tends to absorb moisture (col. 2, lines 21-22 and col. 5, lines 21-23). Ion irradiation on the surface could couple these uncoupled bonds and densified the SOG surface (col. 5, lines 23-26). This would improve reliability of the SOG film (col. 5, line 29). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to densify the second SOG layer in order to prevent moisture absorption and reliability of the SOG film.

With regard to claim 11, Watanabe disclose the second region 5 is a grid line (fig. 5).

With regard to claims 12 and 14, Watanabe disclose a fuse element 3, which is formed over the second region (fig. 5).

With regard to claims 15 and 15, Watanabe does not disclose the second SOG layer includes ion such as argon, fluorine, nitrogen, Group IIIb to VIIb, and Group IVa to Va elements. However, Watanabe modified by Isobe, in claim 10 above, would use Ar⁺ ion irradiation to densify the top or exposed surfaces of second SOG layer 8. Isobe also discloses fluorine material may be used (col. 6, lines 57-64).

With regard to claims 17 and 18, Watanabe discloses the fuse element 3 is a laser blown fuse element (col. 5, lines 16-18).

Art Unit: 2814

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/13/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The references Morozumi et al. (US 6,246,105) and La Fleur et al (US 5,903,041) used in previous office are no longer applied. The argument is moot.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wai-Sing Louie whose telephone number is (703) 305-0474. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (703) 306-2794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.



Douglas A. Wille
Patent Examiner



wsl
April 22, 2002